Ansteys Cove Bolting Proposal

Version 2.0

BMC SW Meet- November 3rd 2020

Considering recent events and conversations regarding bolting in the south west, and more specifically Anstey's Cove, the following proposal hopes to bring a balanced and sensible approach to the development and bolting. This gives consideration to the bolting guidelines as detailed in the Devon and Cornwall Fixed Gear Policy. As both a traditional and sport climber, this aims to bridge a gap between the two ends of the discussion, and allows decisions to be made on individual routes, rather than a blanket response and does not suggest revising the existing fixed gear policy for the area. The future is diverse, and it is my hope that both parties acknowledge and respect this, bringing a growth-mindset to their decision and the sport that we all love.

The justification and reasons for this proposal are as follows:

1. To create a more accessible sport climbing venue. The future of climbing is progressing, with a large number of people coming into the sport from a more diverse background and different pathways, at varied levels of competence. New lower-grade sport routes would support the sport becoming more inclusive and less elitist, supporting grassroot level climbing in the outdoor setting. It is of many people's opinions that Anstey's does not currently cater for introducing people into the discipline.

1. Definitions

Bolting is an emotive subject that often gets "lost in translation", therefore for the purpose of this proposal the following definitions will be used. The following definitions have been taken from a previous proposal to change the constitution for the Cumbria bolt fund, by Rick Graham.

- 1.1 Retro bolting: This is the placing of bolts on routes previously climbed without.
- 1.2 Re bolting: Replacing bolts on a route on a like-for-like basis.
- 1.3 Re-equipping: When replacing bolts on an existing route, it is often impractical to reuse the original drilled hole or drill a hole nearby.

Sometimes holds fall off and change the optimal clipping position.

- Re-equipping a route may require more bolts than on the original ascent.
 - When the intention of the first ascensionist was to create a sport route with bolts and possibly a combination of manufactured and fixed gear, it is suggested that the route is fully re-equipped with bolts.

2. Key considerations

- i. The quality of rock in relation to the bolting of the route and the encouragement of traffic of that route and nearby route. Empire wall left side has seen several pieces of rock pulled off since the bolts have been placed in mid-2020 in this area, has seen an increase in traffic and people climbing after rainy periods, possibly without consideration for the difference in rock quality.
- ii. By making the venue more accessible, consideration and acknowledgement needs to be given to the land, paths and potential increase in erosion. There is currently no agreement with the Torbay Coast and Countryside Trust who manage the land, so sensitivity needs to be applied. Despite signage at the entrance to the venue, there are no known issues between climbers and the landowners. Access, environmental and land ownership considerations have a significant bearing on decisions about the use of drilled equipment. The BMC believes that care and concern for the crag and mountain environment is of paramount importance in such decisions.
- iii. Impact on nearby surrounding routes, ensuring there is no impingement on existing routes.
- iv. Positioning of bolts needs to be given for:
 - a. redpoints and onsights when re-equipping and retro bolting a route
 - b. Ropes running over edges
 - c. Quality of rock
- v. The aspirations of current and future generations of climbers. UKC ascents should not be the sole indicator of the usage of a traditional route, due to many people not logging their climbs. However, it is fair to say that the majority of climbers visiting Anstey's Cove do so for the sport routes, rather than the traditional routes.
- vi. The view of the first ascensionists. It is hoped that the first ascensionist if able to do so, consider their contribution to the future of climbing, as well as being respected for their historical and original contributions.
- vii. The climbers to date who have been giving their time to bolt lines in the area, should be supported as best as possible. It would be great to see support from the BMC in the form of bolting courses in the area. The funding for the suggested bolting is likely to come from personal funds and/or the Portland bolt fund.
- viii. If existing bolts are replaced, the old bolts should be removed/cut to avoid clipping of old bolts and unnecessary visuals on the natural area.

This proposal is very specific and does not address the more long-term progress of the sport.

Giving consideration to the aims of this proposal, the general discussions and hopes for the area, as well as the BMC bolting policy, the following routes would be suitable for consideration of bolting.

Route Name	Grade	Suggested	Reason	FA
Time Bandits-	6c+	Re-equip.	Will make the route	D Thomas,
		Additional bolts	more appealing and	N.White
		required	accessible	
Bandits End	6b	Re-equip.	Will make ground-fall	Unknown
		Additional bolts	potential less.	
	_	required		
End of an Era-	6a	Re-equip. 1 new	Avoided by many due	N White, D
		bolt in between	to the final traverse	Thomas
		current last bolt	move to the lower-off	
		and the lower-	which has been delt	
		OII	with by adding a sling in between the lower-off	
			and final bolt.	
Eve	HVS 5a	New bolted	As most people lower	A Fuller, P
LVC	1105 54	lower off.	off here rather than	Saunders;
		lower on.	top-out, a bolted lower-	AF has given
			off may be more	consent to bolt
			rigorous and suitable.	the whole route
				should someone
				want to.
Time Passages	HVS 5a	As above. Share	As most people lower	P Donnithorne, T
		lower-off	off here rather than	Meen
			top-out, a bolted lower-	
			off may be more	
			rigorous and suitable.	
Epoc	VS 4c	As above. Share	As most people lower	E Grindley, J
		lower-off	off here rather than	Fowler
			top-out, a bolted lower-	
			off may be more	
Fue	\/C 4 =	A Ch	rigorous and suitable.	E Cain allance
Era	VS 4c	As above. Share	As most people lower off here rather than	E Grindley, G
		lower-off		Higginson
			top-out, a bolted lower- off may be more	
			rigorous and suitable.	
St Gregory the	HS 4a	Retro bolt.	Very sparsely	M Springett, P
Wonder	115 40	To be voted on	protected. <i>Noted in the</i>	Biven
Worker		due to FA no	Bolting Policy that Pat	2.4011
		longer being	Littlejohn would be	
		alive.	open to the idea,	
			though PL is not noted	

			as being the FA in the	
			SD Guide.	
Hell's Teeth	HVS 5a	Retro bolt	Protection is poor and	Nick White/Jerry
			loose- a scramble.	Grogono
Blazing	7b+/E6	Re-equip/retro	Replace existing in-situ	N White, M
Aposteles	6b)	bolt	pegs/bolts and add	Campbell
			more to make this a	
			fully protected sport	
			route.	

New Routes

To compliment the development of new sport routes, several new routes are currently being created, which will support this proposal. To-date, 2 new lines sandwiched between Tom's Wall (Boss of Choss) and Small Changes Wall, that have been cleaned and ready for bolting. These do not impinge on existing trad routes. There is scope for further bolting in this area.

Local bolt developers are supporting these new routes by providing time, drill and bolts both from personal funds and partly from the Portland bolt fund.

Recommendations

For this proposal to be acted on, the consent of the FAs needs to be sought in the first instance. The BMC can support the facilitation with open and non-bias communications. If the FAs are no longer alive or is incapable of consenting, support from the BMC would be required to gain consensus from climbers and have a vote. This is within the previous policy and should be adhered to, until another policy is written. A substitute induvial would not be within these rules.

Separately, I would like to propose that the BMC South West region put a structured process policy in place for the proposal of any future development of routes in the area. This is recommended due to the lack of transparency and process made available in the writing of and submission of this proposal. Clarity on the BMC's regional reps communications and process outside of the meeting would be welcomed.

This proposal contains details to the best of my knowledge and research. Thank you for your consideration and time in reading this document.

Author Tori Taylor-Roberts November 2020